Muc4–ErbB2 Complex Formation and Signaling in Polarized CACO-2 Epithelial Cells Indicate That Muc4 Acts as an Unorthodox Ligand for ErbB2
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Muc4 serves as an intramembrane ligand for the receptor tyrosine kinase ErbB2. The time to complex formation and the stoichiometry of the complex were determined to be <15 min and 1:1 by analyses of Muc4 and ErbB2 coexpressed in insect cells and A375 tumor cells. In polarized CACO-2 cells, Muc4 expression causes relocalization of ErbB2, but not its heterodimerization partner ErbB3, to the apical cell surface, effectively segregating the two receptors. The apically located ErbB2 is phosphorylated on tyrosines 1139 and 1248. The phosphorylated ErbB2 in CACO-2 cells recruits the cytoplasmic adaptor protein Grb2, consistent with previous studies showing phosphotyrosine 1139 to be a Grb2 binding site. To address the issue of downstream signaling from apical ErbB2, we analyzed the three MAPK pathways of mammalian cells, Erk, p38, and JNK. Consistent with the more differentiated phenotype of the CACO-2 cells, p38 phosphorylation was robustly increased by Muc4 expression, with a consequent activation of Akt. In contrast, Erk and JNK phosphorylation was not changed. The ability of Muc4 to segregate ErbB2 and other ErbB receptors and to alter downstream signaling cascades in polarized epithelial cells suggests that it has a role in regulating ErbB2 in differentiated epithelia.

INTRODUCTION

ErbB2 is a 185-kDa class I receptor tyrosine kinase that is structurally related to the epidermal growth factor receptor EGFR. The ErbB family of receptors includes four members: epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, HER1, or c-ErbB1), c-ErbB2 (HER2, p185
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EGF or TGFα, suggesting that ErbB2 does not have an unliganded conformation. Instead, ErbB2 presents a structure that prevents canonical ligand binding, one that is suitable for the formation of dimers, with preference toward heterodimers because of ionic and steric constraints (Cho et al., 2003; Garrett et al., 2003; Franklin et al., 2004). This constitutively active structure, which does not require different binding surfaces for each ErbB receptor, offers an explanation for the status of ErbB2 as the preferred heterodimer partner. These interactions have been implicated in numerous developmental processes in normal tissues, such as those of the heart, brain, and mammary gland (Lee et al., 1995; Morris et al., 1999) as well as in cancers of the breast, ovary, colon, kidney, gall bladder, stomach, pancreas, and salivary gland, where ErbB2 is aberrantly expressed in some tumors and is regarded as a major contributor to tumor progression (Lee et al., 1995; Alroy and Yarden, 1997; Klapper et al., 2000).

Although ErbB2 has no high-affinity soluble ligand, it has been shown to form a ligand-receptor-like complex with the membrane mucin Muc4. Thus, there is a potential discrepancy between the structural studies and the observations with Muc4. In the present report we provide a resolution to this discrepancy by showing that the interaction of Muc4 with ErbB2 occurs very early after synthesis of the proteins, most likely before ErbB2 adopts its active structure, which precludes the possibility of a ligand interaction. The Muc4–ErbB2 complex is observed to be localized at the cell surface. This complex is involved in a novel mechanism for activation and modulation of ErbB2 phosphorylation and signaling. Muc4 is composed of two noncovalently associated subunits, ASGP-1 and ASGP-2 (Sherblom and Carraway, 1980), which arise from proteolytic processing of a single gene product (Sheng et al., 1990). The mucin subunit ASGP-1 (−600 kDa; Sherblom et al., 1980) endows the molecule with antiadhesive properties (Komatsu et al., 1997) and contributes to the ability of cells to evade immune recognition (Komatsu et al., 1999). Subunit ASGP-2 (−120 kDa) (Hull et al., 1990) tethers the complex to the membrane and serves as an intramembrane ligand for ErbB2 via an EGF-like domain (Carraway et al., 1999b). This interaction induces phosphorylation of ErbB2 in the absence of a soluble ligand and potentiates the phosphorylation of the ErbB2–ErbB3 heterodimer in the presence of the ErbB3-soluble ligand neuregulin. The Muc4–ErbB2 complex was first observed in highly metastatic rat ascites 13762 mammary adenocarcinoma cells, where the receptor and several of its associated intracellular signaling proteins appeared constitutively tyrosine phosphorylated (Carraway et al., 1999a). The Muc4–ErbB2 interaction has also been demonstrated in several cell and tissue systems, including normal lactating mammary gland, asctes tumors, isolated rat mammary epithelial cells, and Muc4-transfected MCF-7 breast cancer cells (Carraway et al., 2002). Muc4 is constitutively expressed in many epithelial tissues, where it is apically located and serves mainly as a protective function (Carraway et al., 2002). Its expression is tightly regulated in the mammary gland and the female reproductive tract (Price-Schiavi et al., 1998; Idris and Carraway, 2000), and its expression at specific times during epithelial differentiation in certain organs suggests a role in developmental processes (Carraway et al., 2002). In some carcinomas, the regulatory mechanisms controlling Muc4 expression have been suppressed, and Muc4 is highly overexpressed (Price-Schiavi et al., 1998; Singh et al., 2004).

In polarized cells, upon induction of Muc4 overexpression, ErbB2 is translocated from its lateral localization to the apical surface where it is observed in an activated state in complex with Muc4 (Ramsauer et al., 2003). The examination of a number of Muc4-expressing epithelia indicate that ErbB2 localization at the apical surface is not an unusual situation. In general, ErbB2 is apically localized, although not exclusively, in simple epithelia in which Muc4/SMC is present in its membrane form and is apical. Examples include the mammary gland (Price-Schiavi et al., 2005) and uterus and oviduct (Idris et al., 2001). An exception is the lacrimal gland, in which the membrane Muc4/SMC is not predominantly apical, as it is in other simple epithelia. However, in the lacrimal gland ErbB2 is colocalized with the membrane form of Muc4/SMC but not with a soluble form of Muc4/SMC present in secretory granules (Arango et al., 2001). A second exception is the colon, in which Muc4/SMC is predominantly in a soluble form in secretion granules in goblet cells (Rossi et al., 1996). Even though the mechanism by which ErbB2 localization in the presence of Muc4 is changed to the apical surface is not known, this event has crucial implications in cell behavior by positioning the receptor in a cellular location with altered signaling potential. The central issue in this work was the nature of the signaling from the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in the polarized epithelial cells.

The ErbB2 carboxy-terminal region contains five tyrosine residues that upon phosphorylation provide potential binding sites for cytoplasmic signaling molecules (Kavanaugh et al., 1995; van der Geer et al., 1995; Dankort et al., 1997) containing Src homology 2 (SH2) (Dankort and Muller, 2000) and/or protein tyrosine binding (PTB) domains (Schlessinger 1994; Kiese et al., 1995; Graus-Porta et al., 1997; Dankort et al., 2001). These proteins interact in a sequence-specific manner, thereby initiating signaling cascades conducive to proliferation, transformation, or differentiation. In the present work we show that in the Muc4–ErbB2 complex, the receptor is activated at tyrosines 1139 and 1248 in both polarized and nonpolarized cells. Muc4 in the polarized CACo2 cells activates p38 MAPK, a downstream signaling kinase associated with cell differentiation and stability (Laprise et al., 2002), rather than proliferation, as is the case in myogenesis (Cuenda and Cohen, 1999; Zetser et al., 1999) and neuronal differentiation (Morooka and Nishida, 1998; Iwasaki et al., 1999). Interestingly, phosphorylation of p38 activates Akt at serine 473, and not at threonine 380, which is associated with cell survival (Horowitz et al., 2004).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies and Reagents**

To study ErbB2, we used primary antibodies from several sources: five monoclonal antibodies from Lab Vision/Invitrogen (Fremont, CA), namely Neomarkers 2 (clone 9G6.10), 8 (clone E2–4001), 10 (clone L87+e2–4001), 17 (clone e2–4001 + 38β), and 18 (clone PN2A). The Neomarkers 18 reacts with the phosphorylated tyrosine at position 1248. Additional antibodies against phosphorylated tyrosines included the following: pY1248 from Upstate (Charlottesville, VA) and pY1139 from Biosource (Camarillo, CA). Antibodies against ErbB2 from DakoCytomation ( Carpinteria, CA) and from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA) (anti-erbB2 antibody) was used. To probe the histidine-tagged ErbB2, we used a monoclonal antibody (mAb) against His-tag from Tag-From (Cambridge, MA). The mAb 4F12 against Muc4 used for immunoblots, and the polyclonal antibodies against Muc4 used for immunoprecipitations (anti-ASGP-1 polyclonal and anti-c-pep) were described previously (Rossi et al., 1996). The inhibitor studies were carried out using AG825 at a final concentration of 3.5 μM and SB203580 at a final concentration of 7 μM, both from Calbiochem. AG825 is a selective inhibitor of ErbB2 autophosphorylation and SB203580 is a highly specific inhibitor of p38 MAPK. ErbB3 was studied with the following antibodies from Lab Vision: Neomarkers 4, 5, 7, and 10, and antibodies G4 and G16 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Grb2 was detected with monoclonal antibodies from Transduction Labs/BD Biosciences (Rockville, MD), and from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA). Erk1/2, p38, JNK, and their phosphorylated forms were analyzed using antibodies from Cell Signaling. All secondary antibodies were affinity-purified and did not cross-react with immunoglobulins of species other than their specific target. Absence of
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cross-reactivity was determined by agar diffusion assay before colocalization experiments. Peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL) and Promega (Madison, WI). Alexa Fluor® labeled secondary antibodies were obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR), as well as FITC and CY3-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) were used as specified by the manufacturers. Biotinylation of cell surfaces was accomplished using EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotin from Pierce Biotechnology.

Plasmids
An RT-PCR amplifier that contains the coding sequence for the entire extra-cellular domain of subunit ASGP-2 of Muc4 was made by oligo dT-primed reverse transcription of MAT-C1 13762 ascites cell RNA. A BamH restriction site was synthesized into the 5’ TCR primer, and an n-frame stop codon was engineered into the 3’ TCR primer. The resulting cDNA was subcloned into the PCR vector with the TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). The ASGP-2 coding sequence was excised from the PCR-II plasmid with the restriction endonucleases BamH/I (from the PCR primer) and Not/I (vector) and directionally cloned into the AgeII and XhoII sites of the baculovirus transfer vector (PharMingen, San Diego, CA) to generate the ASGP-2-ECD-gp67 clone. The insert was cloned in frame with the sequence for the viral gp67 signal peptide to allow secretion of the extracellular domain of ASGP-2 (ASGP-2 ECD). The ErbB2 ECD plasmid was a kind gift from Dr. Kermit Carraway III (University of California at Davis). The Muc4 plasmid composed of subunit ASGP-2 and five repeats of subunit ASGP-1 was generated from cloning the five repeats of subunit ASGP-1 into the pcDNAIII vector containing the ASGP-1 subunit.

Insect Cell Cultures and the Isolation and Characterization of Muc4–ErbB2 Complex
For the Baculovirus expression vector system BVES, High-5 insect cells were obtained from PharMingen/BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA). They were grown in serum-free media at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/ml and seeded in 75-cm² flasks from Corning (Acton, MA). The High-5 cells were infected with high titer stocks (MOI of 5) of the extracellular domain of the viral vector tagged with GFP as a control, using X-Gene (Fermentas, Hanover, MD) at a multiplicity of infection of 5. Monolayers were exposed to the viral vector for 15 min, whereas for the basolateral surface it was exposed for 40 min. After turning on Muc4 expression by the removal of tetracycline from the culture medium, the cells were biotinylated at 4°C using a cell membrane–impermeable biotinylating reagent (Pierce Biotechnology). Caco-2 cells were mock-transfected with the empty vector tagged with GFP.

Caco-2 Cells
Colonic adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). They were maintained in DMEM-F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Invitrogen) at 5% CO₂ and 37°C. The cell stocks were kept in 25-cm² tissue culture flasks and were collected after dissociation with 0.25% trypsin, 2 mM EDTA for 15 min. For immunofluorescence experiments, the cells were plated on 12-mm round coverslips (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) or on 6-mm Transwell-Clear™ filters (Corning, Costar, Cambridge, MA) at high density (>5 × 10^5 cells/cm²) in order to obtain confluent covers in 2–3 d. Forty-eight hours before immunofluorescence, the coverslips were precoated to the filters. The cells at 70% confluence were transiently transfected with Muc4c or with the empty vector tagged with GFP as a control, using X-Gene (Fermentas, Hanover, MD) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells for inhibition assays were superfused for 1 h with 0.2% FBS in DMEM in the absence or presence of the indicated ligands. The results were expressed as mean ± SEM. The experiments were carried out in quadruplicate. Control experiments were carried out by treating the cells with 400 mM sorbitol for 10 min before cell lysis (Schafer et al., 1998). For biotinylation experiments the cells were plated on 24-mm Transwell-Clear™ filters (Corning) and stably transfected with the complete circular area was scanned for fluorescent cells; as a control, after biotinylation was performed on A375 cells in the same manner as described above, Pulse labeling was performed on Caco-2 cells as described above, after turning on Muc4 expression by the removal of tetracycline from the medium.

Immunofluorescence
Caco-2 cells grown to confluence on 12-mm round coverslips (Fisher Scientific, LLC) or on 6-mm Transwell-Clear™ filters (Corning, Costar) were processed for immunofluorescence studies 48 h after transient transfection with Muc4c. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. After rinsing, the cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 5 min or 0.1% saponin throughout the procedure. Permeabilization was used in all cases, except with anti-ErbB2 Neomarkers (Labvision). The permeabilization was followed by rinsing and quenching of the aldehyde groups in 50 mM NH₄Cl, after which the cells were incubated with primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. The primary antibody was diluted in 1% BSA; in colocalization experiments, 0.1% immunoglobulin (Ig) G of the same species as the secondary antibody was used instead of 1% BSA for rinsing steps and dilution of the primary antibody. Once this first incubation was washed, the cells were rinsed and then incubated with the secondary antibody conjugated to the fluorescent dye (Alexa Fluor 488 and Texas Red from Molecular Probes, or FITC, CY3, and CY5 from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. After this, the cells were mounted in 10% glycerol, 30% propyl gallate, and Slow FadeTM (Molecular Probes) at a dilution of 1:5. The preparations were first observed in a Leitz DM RB microscope (Leica Instruments, GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a Leica Orthoplan E microphotography system using a 63× (1.4 NA) infinity-corrected objective. Laser confocal microscopy was performed with an LSM 510 microscope from Zeiss (Carl Zeiss, GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with two laser sources and the option of up to three channels. Cell monolayers stained with FITC, Alexa Fluor® 488, and Texas Red were analyzed using a 63× oil immersion objective. The images were collected using the LSM 510 software (Carl Zeiss, GmbH), and each confocal section was obtained as the average of four frames.

Laser Scanning Cytometry
The laser scanning microscope provides the quantification capabilities of flow cytometry to specimens on a solid substrate; it records each cell in space and time so it can be viewed and reanalyzed. Laser scanning cytometry (LSC) was performed in a LSC 2 from Compucyte (Cambridge, MA) equipped with three lasers. Cell monolayers were stained in the same manner as for immunofluorescence, and the secondary antibodies were conjugated with FITC, CY3, CY5 (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) and DAPI (Vector Labora-
tories, Burlingame, California). Monolayers were analyzed using a 40× objective; the images were collected using the LSC 2 software. Muc4-transfected Caco-2 cells were seeded as stated above on 12-mm glass coverslips (Fisher), and cell-scattered circular areas was scanned for fluorescent signal. Caco-2 cells were mock-transfected with the empty vector tagged with GFP.

Polarity Assays
The method followed was previously described by Salas et al. (1997). Briefly, cell monolayers grown to confluence on 24-mm Transwell-Clear™ filters (Corning, Costar) were biotinylated on the apical or on the basolateral surface 48 h after transient transfection with Muc4c. After rinsing, the surface proteins of the cells were biotinylated at 4°C using a cell membrane–impermeable biotin derivative, sulfo-NHS-biotin (Pierce Biotechnology). For proteins on the apical or lateral surface, the cells were incubated for 15 min, whereas for the basolateral surface it was exposed for 40 min. After standard rinsing and quenching of the aldehyde groups in 50 mM NH₄Cl, the cells were lysed with RIPA Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1% NP-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride, 1 µg/ml each of aprotonin, leupeptin, and pepstatin, 1 mM Na3VO4, and 1 mM NaF). The complex was isolated as described above via affinity chromatography and immunoprecipitation and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography. Pulse labeling was performed on A375 cells as described above, after turning on Muc4 expression by the removal of tetracycline from the medium.

SDS-PAGE and Immunoblots
The preparations of biotinylated proteins were obtained as described above. Unbiotinylated cell preparations used as negative controls or as positive controls for primary antibodies were processed in the same way minus the expression of Muc4–ErbB2 Complex Signaling in Polarized Cells
biotinylation steps. The samples were run in SDS-PAGE and then blotted onto nitrocellulose sheets. The signal of primary monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies was detected using secondary affinity-purified goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulins coupled to peroxidase and a horseradish peroxidase--conjugated streptavidin. Soluble Muc4 and ErbB2 were expressed separately in insect cells and biotinylated to provide standards for comparison (Figure 1B). The identity of the bands was confirmed by using antibodies against Muc4 and ErbB2.

RESULTS

Expression, Isolation, and Stoichiometry of Muc4–ErbB2 Complex from Insect Cells

ErbB2 is frequently stated to be an “orphan” or “ligandless” receptor (Alroy and Yarden, 1997). In fact, crystallographic analyses indicate that the putative ligand-binding site for ErbB2 is in a “locked” conformation, which would exclude ligand binding (Cho et al., 2003; Garrett et al., 2003; Franklin et al., 2004). Despite these observations, we have shown that the membrane mucin Muc4 forms tight complexes with ErbB2 in multiple cell types (Carraway et al., 2002) and presented strong evidence that the complex formation requires the EGF-like domain 1 of the Muc4 (Carraway et al., 1999b), consistent with a ligand-receptor complex. To facilitate isolation of complex, we expressed a His-tagged extracellular domain of ErbB2 in the insect cells with the extracellular domain of Muc4. As previously reported, these cells secrete a soluble complex of Muc4 and ErbB2. The complex was isolated by binding to the His-tag affinity column and elution with an imidazole gradient, under non-denaturing conditions, indicating that the interaction between the two molecules was stable enough for copurification. The complex was released at an imidazole concentration of 250 μM; these fractions were bracketed in Figure 1A. Fractions containing complex were then immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4 to remove unbound ErbB2. To confirm the interaction of Muc4 and ErbB2, complex was biotinylated with EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotin and detected with streptavidin. Extracellular domains of ErbB2 and Muc4 were also expressed separately in insect cells and biotinylated to provide standards for comparison (Figure 1B). The identity of the bands was confirmed by using antibodies against Muc4 and ErbB2.

Timing of Formation and Stoichiometry by Labeling of Muc4–ErbB2 Complex

Because the Muc4 and ErbB2 only form complex when the two are made in the same cell (Carraway et al., 1999b), one resolution to the question of how Muc4 forms a complex with ErbB2 is that the Muc4–ErbB2 complex forms before ErbB2 assumes its mature, “locked” conformation. To determine when the Muc4–ErbB2 complex is formed in the cell, we analyzed the timing of complex formation in High-5 insect cells that were coinfected to coexpress the extracellular domains of histidine-tagged ErbB2 and Muc4 by pulse-chase analysis. Cells were labeled with 35S-methionine/cysteine for timing the expression of the proteins. Complex was isolated at timed intervals after labeling by binding to and eluting from the His-tag affinity column, as shown in Figure 1A. The Muc4–ErbB2 complex was isolated from eluted material by immunoprecipitation using a polyclonal antibody against Muc4. The immunoprecipitated samples were then analyzed by SDS PAGE and fluorography (Figure 2, A and C) or anti-ErbB2 immunoblotting (Figure 2, B and D). The 35S fluorography showing the appearance of labeled ErbB2 revealed that the complex was formed within the first 15 min after synthesis of the molecules (Figure 2A). Analyses of the secretion of the two components indicated that the time required for these molecules to reach the cell surface is 45–60 min (Figure 2C). The presence of the ErbB2 in the Muc4-immunoprecipitated complex was confirmed by immunoblotting with antibodies against ErbB2 (Figure 2D) and Muc4, and an antihistidine antibody to confirm purification of the complex after affinity chromatography (unpublished data). Complex formation in most cells involves the membrane forms of Muc4 and ErbB2 (Carraway et al., 2002). To

Figure 2. Timing of formation and secretion of soluble Muc4–ErbB2 complex in insect cells by 35S labeling. Muc4- and His-tagged ErbB2 extracellular domains were coexpressed in High-5 insect cells, which were pulse-labeled 10 min with 35S amino acids and chased for periods of 15–120 min. Muc4–ErbB2 complex was isolated from cell lysates by His-tag affinity chromatography followed by Muc4 immunoprecipitation and subjected to SDS-PAGE and fluorography (A). Complex formation is observed within 15 min, by label in the ErbB2 band of the Muc4 immunoprecipitate. The presence and location of the ErbB2 on the fluorograph were confirmed by immunoblot (B), using mAb Neomarkers 10. To determine the time for secretion of complex, complex was isolated from High-5 media using the same affinity chromatography immunoprecipitation method, followed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography. Labeled ErbB2 was detected in the media after 45–60 min (C). The presence and location of the ErbB2 on the fluorograph were confirmed by immunoblot (D).
confirm the early formation of the membrane complex, the same experiment was performed in A375 human melanoma cells, which express membrane ErbB2 and have been transfected with membrane Muc4 (Komatsu et al., 1997). The complex forms within the first 5 to 10 min after synthesis of the molecules (Figure 3A). The identity of the bands was confirmed using antibodies separately against Muc4 and ErbB2 (Figure 3B). The intensity of the bands was estimated by digitizing the image (Scion Image) from x-ray film. After subtracting the background, all band intensities were normalized against a control, the ratio of the content of methionines and cysteines in the molecules. This graph indicates a stoichiometry of 1:1 for the Muc4–ErbB2 complex.

Figure 3. Time of formation and stoichiometry of the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in A375 cells by 35S labeling. (A) Tetracycline-controlled expression of Muc4 in A375 cells was initiated by the removal of tetracycline, and the cells were pulse-labeled 10 min with 35S amino acids and chased for periods of 0–20 min. (B) Muc4–ErbB2 complex was immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4. The presence and location of ErbB2 on the fluorography were confirmed by immunoblot using mAb Neomarkers 10. The presence and location of Muc4 were confirmed by immunoblot (bottom left panel) after stripping of the membrane. (C) Graph of the relative intensities of the bands detected by the 35S fluorography after subtraction of the background and normalization by the ratio of the content of cysteines and methionines in both molecules. This graph indicates a stoichiometry of 1:1 (Figure 3C). These results are consistent with crystallographic studies that show that ErbB ligands bind to a single receptor subunit rather than cross-linking two subunits (Ogiso et al., 2002). These combined results indicate that the Muc4–ErbB2 complex forms intracellularly and are consistent with a mechanism by which Muc4 associates with ErbB2 in a ligand-receptor complex before ErbB2 has assumed its mature, “locked” conformation.

Segregation of ErbB2 and ErbB3 in Polarized CACO-2 Cells

Our previous studies have indicated that the Muc4–ErbB2 complex can interact with ErbB3 at the cell surfaces of non-polarized tumor cells when the cells are stimulated with the ErbB3 ligand neuregulin. In polarized CACO-2 epithelial cells, the Muc4–ErbB2 complex is found at the apical surfaces of the cells. These results raise the question of whether Muc4 can regulate ErbB signaling in polarized epithelial cells by segregating the ErbB2 and ErbB3 receptors. To address this question, we first examined the localization of ErbB3 in the polarized CACO-2 epithelial cells. By confocal immunofluorescence ErbB3 is found mainly in the lateral surfaces of the epithelial cells (Figure 4A), in a location similar to that of ErbB2 and cadherin in these cells, which we observed previously (Ramsauer et al., 2003); it is also found in the cytoplasm. In contrast to ErbB2, the location of ErbB3 is not changed in cells expressing Muc4 (Figure 4A). These observations were confirmed by biontination experiments. CACO-2 cells, whether expressing Muc4 or not expressing Muc4, exhibited ErbB3 in a basolateral location (Figure 4B). In contrast, we previously showed that expression of Muc4 in these cells results in a relocation of ErbB2 from the lateral to the apical surface (Ramsauer et al., 2003).

To determine the effect of ErbB3 localization on complex formation between the Muc4–ErbB2 complex and ErbB3, we immunoprecipitated Muc4 from cell lysates of Muc4-expressing, polarized CACO-2 cells with two different antibodies against Muc4, and analyzed the immunoprecipitates by immunoblotting for ErbB2 and ErbB3. ErbB2, but not...
ErbB3, was found in the Muc4 immunoprecipitates (Figure 5A). Neither ErbB2 nor ErbB3 was found in Muc4 immunoprecipitates in CACO-2 cells not transfected with Muc4 (Figure 5). In contrast, both ErbB2 and ErbB3 were immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4 from nonpolarized A375 melanoma cells, expressing Muc4 (Figure 5B). This Muc4–ErbB2–ErbB3 complex was also observed in nonpolarized CACO-2 cells (Figure 5C), which were lysed for immunoprecipitation before they attained a polarized status. They were plated at high density, transfected the next day, and lysed 48 h later. These results indicate that Muc4 can form a complex with ErbB2 and ErbB3 in these cells in the absence of Muc4.

ErbB3 was found in the Muc4 immunoprecipitates (Figure 5A). Neither ErbB2 nor ErbB3 was found in Muc4 immunoprecipitates in CACO-2 cells not transfected with Muc4 (Figure 5). In contrast, both ErbB2 and ErbB3 were immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4 from a nonpolarized cell, A375 melanoma cells, expressing Muc4 (Figure 5B). This Muc4–ErbB2–ErbB3 complex was also observed in nonpolarized CACO-2 cells (Figure 5C), which were lysed for immunoprecipitation before they attained a polarized status. They were plated at high density, transfected the next day, and lysed 48 h later. These results indicate that Muc4 can form a complex with ErbB2 and ErbB3 even without the addition of the ErbB3 ligand neuregulin, but that this complex formation is possible only in nonpolarized cells. In polarized cells, the Muc4–ErbB2 complex is segregated from ErbB3. Muc4 is not required for ErbB2–ErbB3 complex formation, which can be observed in both CACO-2 and A375 cells that do not express Muc4 (Figure 5D).

**ErbB2 Present in the Muc4–ErbB2 Complex Is Phosphorylated on Tyrosines 1139 and 1248 in CACO-2 and A375 Cells**

ErbB2 has five tyrosines in its C-terminal regulatory region, which are important to cell signaling. To determine whether any of these sites is phosphorylated in the CACO-2 polarized epithelial cells, we used commercial antibodies against the phosphorylated tyrosines. A375 cells were also examined, because we had shown previously that phosphorylation of one of the sites (Tyr 1139) is induced by Muc4 transfection in these cells (Jepson et al., 2002). As shown in Figure 6A, immunoblot analyses of Muc4-transfected CACO-2 and A375 cells indicated Muc4 induction of phosphorylation of two of the sites, tyrosines 1139 and 1248, in both cell types. No phosphorylation of either tyrosine was observed in nontransfected cells. The role of Muc4 in phosphorylation of Tyr 1139 was supported by the apical colocalization of ErbB2 phospho-Tyr 1139 with Muc4, demonstrated by confocal immunofluorescence (Figure 6B). Previous studies have indicated that the Tyr 1139 phosphorylation site binds Grb2, the adaptor protein linked to downstream signaling from receptor tyrosine kinases. As shown in Figure 7, immunoblots of anti-Muc4 immunoprecipitates from Muc4-transfected CACO-2 cells were strongly positive for both tyrosines.

**Figure 5.** Coimmunoprecipitation of ErbB3 with the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in nonpolarized, but not polarized, cells. (A and B) Lysates from Muc4- and mock-transfected polarized CACO-2 cells and nonpolarized A375 tumor cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with anti-ErbB2 or anti-ErbB3. The results indicate that ErbB3 is associated with the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in the nonpolarized cells, but not the polarized cells. (C) Lysates from Muc4- and mock-transfected recently plated, nonpolarized CACO-2 cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-ErbB3. The results indicate that ErbB3 is associated with the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in the nonpolarized CACO-2 cells. (D) Lysates from CACO-2 and A-375 cells not expressing Muc4 were immunoprecipitated with anti-ErbB2. The immunoprecipitates were immunoblotted with anti-ErbB3, indicating an interaction between ErbB2 and ErbB3 in these cells in the absence of Muc4.

**Figure 6.** Phosphorylation of ErbB2 in the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in CACO-2 and A375 cells. (A) Lysates from Muc4-transfected CACO-2 and A375 cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-Muc4. The precipitates were then immunoblotted using antibodies against activated ErbB2, phosphorylated on tyrosines 1248 and 1139, showing that ErbB2 from both cell types was phosphorylated on both sites. (B) Confocal immunofluorescence showing colocalization of ErbB2 activated at tyrosine 1139 with polyclonal anti-ErbB2 pY1139 and Muc4 in a confluent monolayer of CACO-2 cells. Confocal sections are shown with the apical side up, x-y plane; bar, 10 μm.

**Figure 7.** Grb2 associated with Muc4–ErbB2 complex in polarized cells. Immunoblot analyses were performed on Muc4–ErbB2 complex precipitated with anti-Muc4 from polarized CACO-2 using an mAb against Grb2. Note the Ig band in both + and − lanes.
Activation of p38 MAPK in Polarized Cells with Muc4–ErbB2 Complex

Among the primary contributors to ErbB receptor signaling are the MAPKs. There are three main MAPK cascades in mammalian cells: the extracellular signal-regulated kinases (Erk1/2), the stress-activated protein kinases/c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNK also known as SAPK), and a second stress-activated protein kinase, the p38 group. To determine whether any of these are activated by Muc4 expression in the polarized CACO-2 cells, we analyzed cell lysates of Muc4-transfected and mock-transfected cells. Immunoblots with the anti-phospho-MAPK antibodies indicated a robust phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and no increased phosphorylation of Erk or JNK (Figure 8). Because Muc4 was expressed in these cells by transient transfection, only a fraction of the cells is transfected. This approach raises the question whether the increased MAPK phosphorylation results from the Muc4 expression in the transfected cells. This question was addressed by LSC. Figure 9 shows an LSC scan of a fixed monolayer of polarized CACO-2 cells that had been transfected with Muc4 or with an empty vector tagged with GFP before being treated with immunofluorescent probes against activated p38 (Pp38) and total p38. The scans were gated using DAPI, to limit the fluorescent events to those observed in cells only; fluorescent data displayed was determined by cell radius to be single events. The scan displays a positive r of 0.95 between Muc4 expression and p38 activation.

P38 Activation via ErbB2 Phosphorylation
AG825 is a potent selective inhibitor of ErbB2 autophosphorylation (IC50 = 0.35 μM relative to ErbB1 IC50 = 19 μM). To determine whether the robust Muc4-dependent activation of MAPK p38 is mediated by the activation of ErbB2 in the polarized CACO-2 cells, we analyzed cell lysates of Muc4-transfected cells with a specific antibody against the phosphorylated form of p38 in cells treated with or without AG825. As shown in Figure 10A, immunoblots indicate a pronounced decrease in the levels of phosphorylation of p38 MAPK in the presence of AG825. These results indicate that the activation of this MAPK is induced by the phosphorylation of ErbB2 initiated by complex formation with Muc4. As a control, CACO-2 cells were subjected to osmotic stress as indicated above and analyzed by immunoblot of activated p38. Muc4 or mock-transfected cells were treated with ErbB2 phosphorylation inhibitor AG825 and or sorbitol. As shown in Figure 10B, immunoblots indicate that the robust activation of p38 observed in Muc4-transfected cells is not decreased by AG825 in the presence of sorbitol. In the mock-transfected cells, the basal level of activated p38 remains unchanged in the presence of AG825 and is not affected by the presence of sorbitol. To confirm the inhibition of phosphorylation of ErbB2 by AG825, treated and untreated cell monolayers were analyzed by immunofluores-
cence with antibodies against tyrosines 1248 and 1139 (Figure 10C).

**Akt Is Activated by p38 in Cells Expressing Muc4**

Recent studies have shown that the kinase Akt can be activated via phosphorylated p38 (Laprise et al., 2002; Cabane et al., 2004). To determine whether Akt is activated by Muc4 expression in the polarized CACO-2 cells, we analyzed cell lysates of Muc4- and mock-transfected cells with antibodies against the activated and total forms of Akt. As shown in Figure 11A, immunobLOTS with the anti-phospho-Akt indicate a strong activation of Akt at serine 473. Apical localization of activated Akt was observed by immunofluorescence, as shown in Figure 11B, supporting a role for Muc4 in the Akt activation. SB203580 is a highly specific inhibitor of p38MAPK. To determine whether the activation of AKT at serine 473 was a consequence of the activation of p38 in the polarized CACO-2 cells, lysates of Muc4-transfected cells were analyzed with antibodies against Akt phosphoserine 473 in cells treated with or without SB203580. In the presence of this p38 inhibitor, the levels of activated AKT are greatly decreased, as indicated on the immunoblot shown in Figure 11C. This result is confirmed via immunofluorescence with an antibody against Akt activated at serine 473 in the absence and in the presence of the inhibitor SB203580, as shown in Figure 11D.

**DISCUSSION**

The functions of ErbB receptors are determined by their activation by ligands and locations within cells. The heterodimerization mechanism of activating the ErbBs is particularly important, because ErbB2 has no soluble ligand, and ErbB3 has no kinase activity (Guy et al., 1994). Recent crystallographic studies have explained the failure to find an ErbB2 ligand by showing that the receptor is in a “locked” conformation that prevents ligand binding. An interesting facet of ErbB2 biology is its implication in both differentiated and proliferative cells. Such behaviors suggest a mechanism for regulating ErbB2 that is dependent on cell context. The current studies indicate that Muc4 may provide such a mechanism by acting as a ligand for the ErbB2 in polarized epithelial cells. First, Muc4 forms a specific complex with ErbB2 shortly after synthesis of the two proteins. We have previously shown that the complex formation requires the EGF1 domain of the Muc4 (Carraway et al., 1999b). Moreover, as shown in this study and previous studies (Jepson et al., 2002; Ramsauer et al., 2003), the complex formation leads to specific phosphorylation of the ErbB2. We suggest that these observations provide the basis for a mechanism by which Muc4 can act as an unorthodox, intramembrane ligand for the receptor. Second, formation of the Muc4–ErbB2 complex in CACO-2–polarized epithelial cells results in lo-

**Figure 9.** Analysis of the induction of p38 MAPK phosphorylation by Muc4 expression in polarized CACO-2 cells by LSC. Bivariate analysis of the phosphorylated and total forms of p38 and Muc4 in CACO-2 cells by LSC was performed using FITC and Cy3. CACO-2 cell monolayers were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence and probed with antibodies against Muc4 and the activated and total forms of p38. Control cells were transfected with the empty vector tagged with GFP and analyzed in the same manner.

**Figure 10.** Analysis of phosphorylation of p38 and ErbB2 at tyrosines 1248 and 1139, in the presence of the ErbB2 phosphorylation inhibitor AG825. (A) Immunoblot analyses of the phosphorylated forms of p38, ErbB2 pY1248, and pY1139 of CACO-2 cell lysates of Muc4-transfected cells treated with or without ErbB2 inhibitor AG825. A375 cell lysates were used as control. (B) Immunoblot of the activated form of p38 of CACO-2 lysates of Muc4 (lanes 1–3) and mock-transfected cells (lanes 4–6), under osmotic stress by treatment with 400 mM sorbitol. (C) X-z plane by confocal immunofluorescence of ErbB2 pY1248 and pY1139 in a confluent monolayer of CACO-2 cells in the presence and in the absence of AG825. First panel, Muc4 (green); second panel, staining with polyclonal anti-pY1248 or anti-pY1139; and third panel, merge of panels one and two.
calization of the ErbB2 to the apical surface of these cells without altering their polarization (Ramsauer et al., 2003). In contrast, ErbB2 is found in lateral surfaces, colocalized with cadherin junctions, in polarized cells not expressing Muc4. Moreover, ErbB3 is not relocalized by Muc4 from its lateral location in the polarized cells. Thus, Muc4 is able to segregate ErbB2 from ErbB3 (Figure 12) and prevent it from acting as a coreceptor in the polarized cells. In this case Muc4 is acting as an unorthodox ligand. Third, formation of the apical Muc4–ErbB2 complex in CACO-2 cells promotes phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and subsequent activation of Akt. In nonpolarized A375 cells, p38 is not activated, and Akt is phosphorylated via ErbB3 in the presence of neuregulin (Jepson et al., 2002), indicating a different pathway of Akt activation in the polarized versus the nonpolarized cell. Previous studies have indicated the p38 activation is associated with a differentiated cell phenotype in some cell types (Houde et al., 2001).

This kinase pathway, originally associated with stress response and apoptosis, has recently been implicated in the regulation of differentiation mechanisms and cell cycle control. Its pathways of activation are not exclusive to environmental stress and proinflammatory cytokines, but also include other activator proteins, such as insulin and ErbB2. Extensive studies on osmotic stress in CACO-2 cells indicate that this is indeed a proinflammatory event that involves the increase of production of cytokines (Nemeth et al., 2002, Hubert et al., 2004) via the canonical p38 MAPK stress pathway. This is not the case of growth factor receptor–induced cell differentiation or proliferation via p38, where the evidence presented so far indicates that these events are mediated through a different signaling cascade although its components have not been completely elucidated (Cuenda and Cohen, 1999; Maher, 1999; Zetser et al., 1999).

### Figure 11
Analysis of the induction of Akt phosphorylation at serine 473 in Muc4-expressing CACO-2 cells via p38 activation. (A) Immunoblot analyses of the phosphorylated and total forms of Akt of CACO-2 cell lysates in Muc4-transfected cells. Plot of the induction of phosphorylated Akt at serine 473 was based on the immunoblot data. Control cells were transfected with empty vector and analyzed in the same manner. (B) Confocal immunofluorescence localization of pAkt in a confluent monolayer of CACO-2 cells. First panel, Muc4-expressing cells (green); second panel, staining with monoclonal anti-pAkt on serine 473 shows apical staining for cells (red). Note that only cells expressing Muc4 have activated Akt. Confocal sections are shown with the apical side up, x-y plane; bar 10 μm. (C) Immunoblot analyses of the phosphorylated Akt at serine 473 of CACO-2 cell lysates of Muc4-transfected cells treated with or without p38 inhibitor SB203580. A375 cell lysates were used as control. (D) X-z plane by confocal immunofluorescence of pAkt in a confluent monolayer of CACO-2 cells in the presence and in the absence of SB203580. First panel, Muc4 (green); second panel, staining with polyclonal anti-pAkt; and third panel, merge of panels one and two.

### Figure 12
Model for localization of Muc4, ErbB2, and ErbB3 in polarized and nonpolarized cells and their effects on MAPK signaling. The unpolarized cell shows the “quadcomplex” formed by neuregulin activation of ErbB3 to promote its association with the Muc4–ErbB2 complex (Carraway et al., 1999b, 2002). Note differences in MAPK phosphorylated forms between the polarized and unpolarized cells.
Caco-2 cells, activation of p38 is required for differentiation mechanisms (Houde et al., 2001; Daniel et al., 2004), with subsequent activation of Akt on serine 473 (Laprise et al., 2002). Growth factor–induced activation of Akt via p38 has been recognized as a prosurvival pathway in lung cells (Horowitz et al., 2002). Our results indicate that in polarized Caco-2 cells expressing Muc4, p38 is activated through ErbB2 and is involved in functions of cell survival and maintenance via the activation of Akt on serine 473. Further studies are necessary to determine how the activated Akt influences the behavior of the polarized cells.

These studies suggest that Muc4 is associated with the differentiated phenotype of polarized epithelia through its ability to influence the localization and signaling of ErbB2, i.e., its function as an unorthodox ligand for ErbB2. Our hypothesis is that Muc4 acts as part of the protection mechanism for epithelia by serving as a sensor of damage (Ramsauer et al., 2003). Damage to the epithelium results in loss of polarization of the cells and elimination of the barrier to segregation of ErbB2 and ErbB3 that was imposed by Muc4 in the polarized cells. Formation of the Muc4–ErbB2–ErbB3 complex resulting from depolarization can change downstream signaling from p38 MAPK associated with differentiation to Erk MAPK associated with proliferation (Figure 12). Similar behavior is expected in transformed, neoplastic cells, which have also lost their polarization.

The key to this mechanism is the ability of Muc4 to act as an unorthodox ligand for ErbB2, moving it to an apical location. Thus, Muc4 must be able to override ErbB2 signals that localize it to the lateral junctions in polarized cells not expressing Muc4. Presumably, Muc4 accomplishes this via a strong signal for apical localization. The nature of this signal is yet unknown. Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain apical localization of glycoproteins in polarized epithelial cells, including N-glycosylation, O-glycosylation, and incorporation into lipid rafts facilitated by acylation (Milligan et al., 1995; Gut et al., 1998; Resh, 2004). Perhaps all of these mechanisms can contribute to apical Muc4 localization, because it is highly N- and O-glycosylated and contains cytoplasmic juxtamembrane cysteines that are appropriately located for palmitoylation (Sheng et al., 1992). Further studies are underway to decipher the potential mechanisms for Muc4 apical localization.
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