Solution Structure of the MAPK Phosphatase PAC-1 Catalytic Domain: Insights into Substrate-Induced Enzymatic Activation of MKP
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Summary

Inactivation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) by MAPK phosphatases (MKPs) is accomplished via substrate-induced activation of the latter enzymes; however, the structural basis for the underlying mechanism remains elusive. Here, we report the three-dimensional solution structure of the C-terminal phosphatase domain of the prototypical MKP PAC-1, determined when bound to phosphate. Structural and biochemical analyses reveal unique active site geometry of the enzyme important for binding to phosphorylated threonine and tyrosine of MAPK ERK2. Our study further demonstrates that the dynamic interaction between the N-terminal kinase binding domain and the C-terminal phosphatase domain of an MKP is directly coupled to MAPK-induced conformational change of the phosphatase active site, which is essential for eliciting its full enzymatic activity.

Introduction

Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) play a pivotal role in controlling numerous cellular processes, including differentiation, mitogenesis, oncogenesis, and apoptosis [1–3]. The biological importance of MAPKs is underscored by tight control of their activation through dual phosphorylation of threonine and tyrosine in the activation loop [1–5] and their inactivation by a family of dual-specificity MAPK phosphatases (MKPs), many of which are encoded by early genes induced by MAPKs, thus forming a negative-feedback mechanism [6, 7]. All MKPs consist of two functional domains—an N-terminal kinase binding domain and a C-terminal phosphatase domain. Many MKPs exhibit distinct substrate specificity toward three major classes of MAPKs, i.e., extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2), c-Jun N-terminal kinases/stress-activated protein kinases (JNK-1/2/3/SAPK), and p38 proteins (p38α/β/δ/ε) [8, 9]. For instance, PAC-1 that is expressed predominantly in hematopoietic cells was discovered by virtue of its specific inactivation of ERKs in T cell activation [10, 11], whereas MKP-3 and M3-6 are highly selective in inactivating ERKs or JNK/SAPK and p38 MAPKs, respectively [12–14]. This high substrate selectivity of MKPs is, in part, due to their ability to recognize distinctively different dual-phosphorylation sites containing the pT-X-pY motif, where pT and pY are phosphothreonine and phosphotyrosine and X is glutamate, proline, or glycine, respectively, in the three different classes of MAPKs.

Recent studies have shown that direct binding of the N-terminal kinase binding domains to selective MKPs also contributes to the substrate specificity of MKPs [15–19]. More strikingly, this direct enzyme-substrate interaction results in catalytic activation of MKP-3 [16], which otherwise exhibits very low phosphatase activity in the absence of substrates. Structural and biochemical studies of MKP-3 have further demonstrated that the enzyme utilizes a conserved XXRRXXKXXLV motif in its N-terminal kinase binding domain for specific interaction with ERK2 [20–23] and that MKP-3 activity is controlled by a mechanism of substrate-induced activation, rather than autoinhibition [20, 24, 25]. The latter mechanism is seen in the SHP-2 tyrosine phosphatase [26]. Collectively, these studies suggest that MKP-3 binding to ERK2 allosterically causes conformational rearrangement of the C-terminal phosphatase domain, leading to its catalytic activation [16, 20]. This hypothesis seems consistent with the three-dimensional crystal structure of the free MKP-3 phosphatase domain, which shows that the key catalytic residues at the active site are structurally disengaged for catalysis [27].

Despite these important advances, major questions regarding the structural basis of substrate recognition and the mechanism of substrate-induced catalytic activation of MKPs remain unanswered. For instance, do dual-specificity MKPs interact with phosphothreonine and phosphotyrosine in the activation loop of an MAPK simultaneously? What amino acid residues flanking phosphothreonine and phosphotyrosine in MAPKs are specifically recognized by MKPs? How does an MKP binding by an MKP affect conformational rearrangement of the phosphatase active site, which results in its catalytic activation? In an effort to address these questions, we have determined the three-dimensional solution structure of the C-terminal phosphatase domain from the prototypical MKP PAC-1 using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. We have further investigated the structural basis of its substrate recognition and interdomain interaction with the conserved N-terminal kinase binding domain. Our findings provide new insights into the structural and functional relationships of MKPs.

Results and Discussion

Structure Determination

To conduct the NMR structural analysis, we substituted the enzymatic nucleophile C257 with serine for the C-terminal phosphatase domain of human PAC-1 (Figures 1A and 1B). Mutation of the equivalent cysteine to
Figure 1. Functional Domains of MKPs

(A) Domain organization of PAC-1 and MKP-3. N-terminal kinase binding domain, blue; C-terminal phosphatase domain, orange. Numerals indicate domain boundaries based on the structural analysis. The functionally important sequences, including the kinase interaction motif, the general acid loop, and the phosphatase active site loop, are highlighted with shaded boxes.

(B) Sequence alignment of the phosphatase domains. Absolutely conserved residues, red; highly conserved residues, blue. Sequence numbers are indicated along the protein sequences. Secondary structures of the PAC-1 phosphatase domain are shown as colored rectangles (α helices) and arrows (β strands). Asterisks highlight the PAC-1 catalytic residues, i.e., D226, C257, and R263. Note that the enzymatic nucleophile C257 of PAC-1 was changed to serine in the NMR study.

(C) GST pull-down study of PAC-1 and ERK2 interaction. Western blot with anti-GST antibodies shows binding of the GST fusion PAC-1 and MKP3 proteins immobilized on the GST Sepharose beads (left panel). The middle panel depicts Western blot with anti-hisidtine tag antibodies,
serine in protein tyrosine phosphatases has been shown to abrogate catalytic activity, but to retain substrate recognition [28, 29], making it feasible for studying the enzyme-substrate complex. This mutation in PAC-1 did not cause any significant structural perturbation of the protein, as judged by NMR spectral analysis. To investigate the feasibility of structural determination of an enzyme-substrate complex, we performed NMR binding of the phosphatase domain with phosphorylated peptides derived from the ERK2 activation loop (residues 175–193, DHDTGFpTPCyVATRWYRA). Surprisingly, both NMR titration and intermolecular NOE experiments revealed that the PAC-1 protein, composed of residues 170–314 or 127–314, did not form a stable complex with either mono- or bis-phosphorylated ERK2 peptide. Specifically, at 1:1 protein-peptide molar ratio, backbone amide resonances of the protein in the $^{15}$N-HSQC spectrum showed negligible chemical shift perturbations, as compared to the free form spectrum. Even when the peptide concentration was increased to a 1:10 protein-peptide molar ratio (with $\sim$5 mM peptide), resonance perturbation of the protein was still very small and limited to a few residues (data not shown). NMR titration with a shorter ERK2 peptide (residues 177–189, DHTGFpTPCyVATR), in its mono- or bis-phosphorylated form, showed similarly weak interactions with the phosphatase domain. As NMR can detect weak, but specific, protein-ligand interactions ($K_d$ of $\mu$M to mM), these observations may be explained by two reasons: (1) the synthetic ERK2 peptide loses its geometric conformation adopted in the ERK2 protein that is required for enzyme-substrate binding, and (2) the active site conformation of the phosphatase domain alone is altered as compared with the full-length PAC-1 and, thus, not suited for stable enzyme-substrate complex formation.

To test these possibilities, we performed an in vitro binding assay using recombinant and purified GST fusion PAC-1 and dual-phosphorylated ERK2 proteins. As shown in Figure 1C, the phosphatase domain of PAC-1 (residues 170–314 or 127–314) exhibited no detectable binding to ERK2 (lanes 5 and 6), whereas binding of the full-length PAC-1 to ERK2 was comparable to that of MKP-3 (lanes 4 and 7). These results substantiate our NMR titration results and argue that the active site conformation of the phosphatase domain of PAC-1, in the absence of the kinase binding domain, is not optimal for substrate recognition and that the full-length PAC-1-ERK2 association results from the phosphatase domain binding to the dual-phosphorylation site in ERK2 and/or the kinase binding domain interaction with ERK2 in regions other than the phosphorylation site.

While the phosphatase domain does not bind to the ERK2 peptide, it interacts with phosphate, which is a competitive inhibitor for the enzyme. Interestingly, the protein gives rise to excellent NMR spectra in a buffer containing low, but not high, phosphate concentration (see below); stability of the protein was dramatically increased in the presence of phosphate. These promising results prompted us to determine the three-dimensional structure of the phosphatase domain of PAC-1 (residues 170–314) in a 5 mM phosphate buffer of pH 6.5. The phosphatase domain, determined with a total of 2374 NMR-derived distance and dihedral angle restraints [30], adopts a compact tertiary structure (Figure 1B). An ensemble of the 20 lowest-energy NMR structures and a ribbon diagram of the average minimized structure are depicted in Figures 2A and 2B. Excluding a flexible $\alpha_4$-$\alpha_5$ loop (residues 288–304), the NMR structures are well defined (Table 1).

**Structure of the Phosphatase Domain**

The PAC-1 phosphatase domain contains an $\alpha/\beta$ structure, consisting of a central six-stranded mixed $\beta$ sheet with a folding topology of $+1x$, $+2x$, $+2x$, $-1x$, $-2x$ that is sandwiched by four $\alpha$ helices on one side and one helix on the other (Figure 2B). The location of the enzyme active site is marked by the conserved phosphatase signature sequence HCXXXXXXR (residues 256–263) in the $\beta_3$-$\alpha_3$ loop (Figure 2C), where C257 is the enzymatic nucleophile and R263 coordinates with the phosphate group on phosphotyrosine or phosphothreonine. The conserved D226, which corresponds to D262 in MKP-3 and is believed to serve as a general acid by donating a proton to phenolic or hydroxyl oxygen of the leaving group of a substrate [31], resides in the so-called general acid loop connecting $\beta_5$ and $\alpha_2$ (Figure 2C).

While the overall fold of the PAC-1 phosphatase domain structure is very similar to the structure of the MKP-3 phosphatase domain determined by X-ray crystallography [27], differences of structural elements do exist between the two structures (Figures 2C and 2D). For instance, $\alpha_1$, which is packed antiparallel to the central strands on one side of the $\beta$ sheet in PAC-1, is one turn shorter in the crystal structure. Conversely, $\alpha_4$, which forms three turns in the crystal structure, has only two turns in the NMR structure, the latter of which is followed by a long and flexible $\alpha_4$-$\alpha_5$ loop. The relative orientation of $\alpha_5$ with respect to the active site helix $\alpha_3$ is also different—it is $\sim$30° in the MKP-3 structure and $\sim$75° in the PAC-1 structure. Another notable structural difference is the location of the $\beta_5$-$\alpha_2$ loop, which contains the catalytically important residue D226. In the crystal structure of MKP-3, the equivalent D262 is disengaged from the other catalytic residues by over 10 Å. On the basis of this distinct structural feature, it was suggested that a major conformational rearrangement would have to take place in order to bring the catalytic residues together for full enzymatic activity in catalysis [27]. However, in PAC-1, the corresponding loop containing D226 appears closer to the phosphatase signature sequence (Figure 2C). This striking structural difference could be, in part, due to differences in the buffer conditions in the two structural studies (see below).
Figure 2. NMR Structure of the Phosphatase Domain of PAC-1

(A) Stereo view of the backbone atoms (N, Cα, and C') of 20 superimposed NMR-derived structures of the PAC-1 phosphatase domain (residues 170–314). Four N-terminal residues that are structurally disordered are omitted for clarity. The secondary-structural elements of α helices and β strands are colored in green and orange, respectively.
The latter resonance signals in the spectra match with more than one proton atom in both the chemical shift assignment and the final structures exhibit NOE-derived distance restraint violations greater than 0.5 Å or dihedral angle restraint violations greater than 5°.

To characterize the active site, we conducted NMR titrations comprising K288, R290, and R291 is a site (other than that this is a potential site for protein-protein interaction. and E308. This distinctive structural feature suggests the marked differences of the structural elements, i.e., the 1NH-phosphorylation residue(s) in ERK2. The back surface of the enzyme, opposite to the active site (the front surface), is also distinctively polarized (Figure 2E). Surface-exposed residues K218, K247, R252, R276, R277, and R279 form a continuous and positively charged patch that is surrounded by a strip of negatively charged residues, including E175, D190, E231, D281, E282, D285, and E308. This distinctive structural feature suggests that this is a potential site for protein-protein interaction.

Substrate Binding
To characterize the active site, we conducted NMR titration of the phosphatase domain with small molecular substrate analogs. Remarkably, addition of phosphate causes extensive portions of the enzyme (more than just the active site region) to exhibit major backbone amide resonance changes (Figure 3A), implicating a global structural alteration. This phosphate-dependent global structural perturbation is possibly due to phosphate binding to more than just the active site and may explain the marked differences of the structural elements, i.e., the 7NH-phosphorylated loop, between the NMR structure of PAC-1 and the crystal structure of MKP-3, which were determined in a 5 mM phosphate buffer of pH 6.5 and in a 100 mM Tris buffer of pH 8.2 containing 2.5 M NaCl, respectively.

Unexpectedly, the major perturbed residues upon addition of p-nitro-phenyl phosphate (pNPP), which mimics phosphotyrosine, are clustered mostly in lower and the 1NH–1loop instead of at the active site between 3, 4, and the 3–1loop, between the NMR structure of PAC-1 and the crystal structure of MKP-3, which were determined in a 5 mM phosphate buffer of pH 6.5 and in a 100 mM Tris buffer of pH 8.2 containing 2.5 M NaCl, respectively. The major perturbed residues upon addition of p-nitro-phenyl phosphate (pNPP), which mimics phosphotyrosine, are clustered mostly in lower and the 1NH–1loop instead of at the active site between 3, 4, and the 3–1loop, between the NMR structure of PAC-1 and the crystal structure of MKP-3, which were determined in a 5 mM phosphate buffer of pH 6.5 and in a 100 mM Tris buffer of pH 8.2 containing 2.5 M NaCl, respectively.

Analysis of surface electrostatic potential shows that the enzyme active site is located at one end of a surface-exposed channel lined by the 4–5 loop on one side and the 2–1 and 6–3 loops as well as the interdomain linker sequence (N-terminal to 1) on the other side (Figures 2C and 2F). In addition to R263 at the active site, a group of positively charged residues, K288, R290, and R291, are clustered at the other end of this crevice, suggesting another binding site for the phosphorylated residue(s) in ERK2.

Table 1. NMR Structural Statistics of the PAC-1 Phosphatase Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total experimental restraints</td>
<td>2374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NOE distance restraints</td>
<td>2138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unambiguous</td>
<td>1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraresidue (i = j)</td>
<td>788 (39.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequential (</td>
<td>i – j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (2 ≤</td>
<td>i – j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long range (</td>
<td>i – j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bond restraints</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral angle restraints</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final energies (kcal · mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>213.1 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_NOE</td>
<td>20.2 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_Dihedral</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_Total</td>
<td>−644.2 ± 20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ramachandran Plot (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Full Molecule</th>
<th>Secondary Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most-favorable region</td>
<td>71.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>91.9 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additionally allowed</td>
<td>21.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generously allowed region</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed region</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rmsd’s of Atomic Coordinates (Å)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backbone</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy atoms</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of the 2138 total NOE-derived distance restraints, 881 were obtained with the ARIA program, and 173 of those are classified as ambiguous NOEs. The latter resonance signals in the spectra match with more than one proton atom in both the chemical shift assignment and the final NMR structures.

*Based upon the 20 lowest-energy minimized structures. The Lennard-Jones potential was not used during any refinement stage. None of these final structures exhibit NOE-derived distance restraint violations greater than 0.5 Å or dihedral angle restraint violations greater than 5°.


residue in EKR2. The latter seems to agree with the surface electrostatic potential of the molecule (Figure 2F). Since phosphotyrosine of the dual-phosphorylated ERK2 has been shown to be dephosphorylated first [25], it is conceivable that this newly discovered phosphate binding site is for phosphothreonine recognition. These results suggest direct and possibly simultaneous recognition of both phosphotyrosine and phosphothreonine at the active site of PAC-1.

Why does pNPP not bind to the enzyme active site? One possibility is that, when bound to phosphate, the active site is locked in a closed state, thus preventing it from binding to pNPP ($K_d$ of $\sim$5 mM, as estimated by NMR titration). This explanation is consistent with the observations that (1) the $\beta$5$-$$\alpha$2 loop is closer to the active site in the NMR structure (a closed state) than in the crystal structure (an apo state), (2) residues perturbed by phosphorylated threonine titration are in regions similar to those perturbed by pNPP binding (Figures 3B and 3C), and (3) the enzyme, under the same condition, showed little or negligible spectral changes upon addition of phosphorylated tyrosine (data not shown). It is important to note that the single-phosphorylated amino acids used in the NMR titration with ERK2 has been shown to be dephosphorylated first [25], it is conceivable that this newly discovered phosphate binding site is for phosphothreonine recognition. These results suggest direct and possibly simultaneous recognition of both phosphotyrosine and phosphothreonine at the active site of PAC-1.

Why does pNPP not bind to the enzyme active site? One possibility is that, when bound to phosphate, the active site is locked in a closed state, thus preventing it from binding to pNPP ($K_d$ of $\sim$5 mM, as estimated by NMR titration). This explanation is consistent with the observations that (1) the $\beta$5$-$$\alpha$2 loop is closer to the active site in the NMR structure (a closed state) than in the crystal structure (an apo state), (2) residues perturbed by phosphorylated threonine titration are in regions similar to those perturbed by pNPP binding (Figures 3B and 3C), and (3) the enzyme, under the same condition, showed little or negligible spectral changes upon addition of phosphorylated tyrosine (data not shown). It is important to note that the single-phosphorylated amino acids used in the NMR titration with the phosphatase domain were not ideal because of their positively charged amino, and negatively charged carboxyl, groups, which could interfere with enzyme and substrate recognition. Another possibility is that, even when bound to phosphate, the active site of PAC-1 is still structurally disengaged for coordinating a substrate for catalysis. A full substrate engagement would require conformational rearrangement of the enzyme active site through interactions between the two functional domains of PAC-1.

Interdomain Interactions
To address how the N-terminal kinase binding domain affects the active site conformation of the C-terminal phosphatase domain, we examined interdomain interactions. Because of the very low protein expression
level of the PAC-1 kinase binding domain, but its high sequence identity to that of MKP-3 (51% identity and additional 19% similarity), we used the N-terminal domain from MKP-3 (residues 1–154) and the C-terminal domain from PAC-1 in the NMR binding study. The key contact points between these two functional domains, as revealed from the NMR titration, are localized on one side of the phosphatase domain, away from the active site, involving $\beta_4$, $\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_5$ (Figure 3D). To determine the role of the interdomain linker sequence, we further compared NMR spectra of PAC-1 consisting of residues 131–314 and 170–314. Binding of the linker sequence appears to occur on the back side of the phosphatase domain, almost directly behind the active site, involving $\beta_4$, $\alpha_5$, $\beta_1$, and $\beta_2$ (Figure 3E). Residues in the phosphatase signature sequence of the $\beta_6$-$\alpha_3$ loop and in $\alpha_3$ are also perturbed. These results confirm that the domain-domain interactions, via their linker sequence, may directly affect the active site geometry of the MKP.

The two MKP domains, although composed of compact tertiary structures, are highly dynamic in solution. For instance, the phosphatase domain of PAC-1 is in a stable conformation in a 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer of pH 6.5, as illustrated by its excellent $^{15}$N-HSQC spectrum (uniform and narrow line width of protein amide contact points) (Figure 4A). However, the protein resonances become significantly broadened when the phosphate concentration is increased to 100 mM (Figure 4B), indicating that the protein exists in multiple conformations that undergo an intermediate to fast exchange on the NMR timescale. Likewise, conformation of the kinase binding domain of MKP-3 is also dependent on buffer ionic strength—it is more stable in a high-ionic strength buffer than in a low-ionic strength buffer (Figures 4D and 4E). This buffer ionic strength dependence of conformational heterogeneity is reversible and was significantly diminished when the two domains were brought together (Figures 4C and 4F). Taken together,
these results argue that interdomain interactions between the two MKP functional domains are coupled to their functions.

Biological Implications

Dual-specific MKPs inactivate MAPKs that control mitogenic signal transduction in all eukaryotic organisms [1–3, 6, 7]. MKPs that consist of an N-terminal kinase binding domain and a C-terminal phosphatase domain exhibit very low phosphatase activity in the absence of substrates [15–19]. MKP binding to a selective MAPK results in activation of the phosphatase activity in a substrate-induced activation mechanism [20, 24, 25], rather than autoinhibition, commonly seen in other protein phosphatases [26]. Our new solution structure of the C-terminal phosphatase domain of PAC-1 and the identification of its interaction sites for the N-terminal kinase binding domain and for phosphotyrosine and phosphothreonine in the activated ERK2 represented here provide a key piece of knowledge toward full structural realization of the mechanism of action underlying MAPK-induced enzymatic activation of an MKP. In the absence of the substrate, the N-terminal kinase binding domain of an MKP is associated with its C-terminal phosphatase domain via the interdomain linker sequence, and the enzyme exists in a low-activity state because of disengagement of its active site residues. Alteration of the cooperative interdomain interactions of an MKP resulting from its N-terminal domain binding to an MKP allosterically triggers conformational rearrangement of the phosphatase active site for specific recognition of the MAPK’s dual-phosphorylation sequence, leading to enzymatic activation of the MKP to a high-activity state that is essential for dephosphorylation and inactivation of the MAPK. This dynamic coupling of MAPK inactivation to MAPK substrate-induced activation of an MKP provides a tightly controlled regulation that enables these two key enzymes to keep each other in check and thereby guarantees the fidelity of intracellular signal transduction.

Experimental Procedures

Sample Preparation

cDNA sequences encoding the phosphatase domain of PAC-1 consisting of residues 131–314 or 170–314 were subcloned into a pET15b expression vector (Novagen), which produces the recombinant protein with a hexahistidine (His6) sequence at the N terminus. Beads (10 pM) were incubated with the purified, overexpressed in E. coli BL21(DE3) cells and purified with procedures previously reported [32, 33].

NMR Spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were acquired at 25°C on a 600 MHz or 500 MHz Bruker DRX NMR spectrometer. 1H, 13C, and 15N resonances of the protein were assigned with standard deuterium-decoupled triple-resonance spectra of HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HN(CA)CB, HN(COCA)CB, and (H)CO/NH-TOCSY [30, 34], recorded on a uniformly 15N/13C-labeled and fractionally deuterated protein. The side chain assignments were completed with 3D HCCH-TOSY [35] data collected from a uniformly 13N/15C-labeled protein. NOE-derived distance restraints were obtained from 15N- or 13C-edited 3D NOESY spectra [35]. 3J angle restraints were determined from 3J, coupling constants measured in a 3D HNHA-J spectrum [35]. Slowly exchanging amide protons were identified from a series of 2D 1H-13N HSQC spectra recorded after the H2O buffer was changed to D2O buffer. All NMR spectra were processed with NMRPipe [36] and analyzed with NMRView [37].

Structure Calculations

Structures of the PAC-1 protein were calculated with a distance geometry simulated-annealing protocol with X-PLOR [38]. Initial structure calculations were done with manually assigned NOE-derived distance restraints. Hydrogen bond distance restraints were added at the late stage of structure calculations for residues with characteristic NOE patterns. The converged structures were then used for the iterative automated assignment of the NOE spectra by ARIA [30], which integrates with X-PLOR for the structure refinement. The final structure calculations employed a total of 2138 NOE-derived distance restraints obtained from the manual and ARIA-assisted assignments from the 15N- or 13C-edited NOE data. The NOE-derived restraints were categorized on the basis of the observed NOE peak intensities. Additionally, 110 hydrogen bond distance restraints for 55 hydrogen bonds and 126 3J and 45 angle restraints, determined from the 3D HNHA-J experiment and TALOS program [40], were also used in the calculations. For the final 20 lowest-energy NMR structures, no distance or torsional angle restraint was violated by more than 0.5 Å or 5°, respectively (Table 1).

Protein Binding

Protein-protein and protein-ligand binding studies were performed by recording a series of 2D 1H-13N H2O/2H2O. Protein binding was determined by with procedures previously reported [32, 33].
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